Statement-II

Branches of foreign banks in Gujarat

(Rs. in Lakhs)

Name of Bank	No. of Branches	Deposits	Advances
1. ABN Amro Bank	1 (Baroda)	4702.41	4805.27
2. BNP Paribas	1 (Ahmedabad)	13978.45	6821.03
3. Credit Lyonnais	1 (Ahmedabad)	522.31	16700.32
4. Citibank	1 (Ahmedabad)	2029.00	2295.00
	1 (Baroda)	51.00	
5. Hong Kong & Shanghai Banking Corporation	1 (Ahmedabad)	1660.73	459.64
6. Standard Chartered Grindlays Bank	1 (Ahmedabad)	3322.00	1717.00
7. Standard Chartered Bank	1 (Ahmedabad)	2055.00	9057.00

Performance of NABARD

†*30. SHRI KRIPAL PARMAR: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have reviewed the performance of National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) in the country particularly in Himachal Pradesh during the last three years;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) if so, the details thereof, State-wise;

(c) the details of lapse, if any, found in the implementation of State projects;

(d) the details of projects approved during the last three years alongwith the location of these projects; and

(e) the State-wise number of those projects particularly in Himachal Pradesh which are to be approved/sanctioned by NABARD?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI YASHWANT SINHA): (a) & (b) The activities of National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) are monitored by Government on an on-going basis. In indepth review of its operations is conducted by its Board of Directors comprising amongst others, senior representatives from the Ministries of Finance, Agriculture and Rural Development and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI). The activities of NABARD are also reviewed by the Central Board of Directors of RBI every year. An annual review of NABARD's activities is also tabled in Parliament alongwith the annual report of the institution.

The details of the State-wise refinance assistance provided and the loans given to State Governments including the State of Himachal Pradesh for the last three years are given in Statement. (*See* below)

(c) NABARD has reported that deficiencies observed in the implementation of projects under Rural Infrastructure Development Fund (RIDF) in States include, *inter-alia,* inadequate budgetary provision, poor progress in implementation, poor progress in formation of Water Users' Association, non-receipt of project completion reports, delay in land acquisition, low level of drawals, deviation from approved design estimates, etc.

(d) and (e) The State-wise details of the RIDF projects cleared during the last three years are given in Statement-II. The details of the projects to be sanctioned in the forthcoming sanction committee meeting are given in Statement-III.

Statement-I

Statement indicating NABARD support to States during 1998-99 *and* 2000-2001

(Rs. in lakhs)

S. No.	State/Union Territories	1998-99		1999-2000		2000-2001	
		Target	Max. O/S	Target	Max. O/S	Target	Max. O/S
1.	A&N Islands	269	285	287	276	329	324
2.	Andhra Pradesh	247962	230546	231538	215112	263741	235768
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	460	460	1064	1117	3502	3502
4.	Assam	14098	14113	14546	14568	12976	13049
5.	Bihar	28493	26065	28225	26767	27151	26436
6.	Chandigarh	3	3	10	10	6555	6555
7.	D & N Haveli	3	3	24	24	67	67
8.	Goa	1402	1402	1910	1910	2005	2005
9.	Gujarat	75917	49835	80033	63084	106273	79129
10.	Haryana	112584	99949	118369	118290	149328	140728
11.	Himachal Pradesh	10978	10797	13602	13571	20035	19863
12.	Jammu & Kashmir	3449	3478	8889	8749	10739	10822
13.	Karnataka	121106	117734	125594	123913	144063	135441
14.	Kerala	55242	42887	64751	52052	71960	51870
15.	Lakshadweep	20	20	21	21	9	9
16.	Madhya Pradesh	110093	83265	120958	96519	124863	110715
17.	Maharashtra	78933	61279	109681	86838	139197	125885
18.	Manipur	325	939	203	817	187	187
19.	Meghalaya	1313	1168	2133	2074	2615	2655
20.	Mizoram	351	351	1386	1386	1814	1814
21.	Nagaland	319	319	1032	1032	1342	1294
22.	NCT of Delhi	105	105	100	100	669	669
23.	Orissa	56721	53877	85492	77624	80754	79831
24.	Pondicherry	247	247	844	809	912	878
25.	Punjab	94387	92184	101244	98650	106839	99373
26.	Rajasthan	90958	80426	98413	91321	113963	106933
27.	Sikkim	167	167	1500	1400	977	1077
28.	Tamil Nadu	129394	104969	145149	120659	160830	135526
29.	Tripura	2013	2299	2710	2946	3561	3567
30.	Uttar Pradesh	163374	142126	180482	164877	191879	184373
31.	West Bengal	45349	39519	55282	48065	67554	65432
	TOTAL:	1446035	1260797	1595472	1434581	1816689	1646141

Statement indicating the State-wise details of RIDF projects cleared during the years 1998-99, 1999-2000 and 2000-01

Sl. No.	State	RIDF-IV	RIDF-V	RIDF-VI
1.	Andhra Pradesh	386	1474	2294
2.	Arunachal Pradesh		7	13
3.	Assam	39	100090	7
4.	Bihar	3	145	58
5.	Goa	22		60
6.	Gujarat	154	9798	7727
7.	Haryana	44	6	72
8.	Himachal Pradesh	67	733	1303
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	88	132	176
10.	Karnataka	536	371	587
11.	Kerala	162	237	256
12.	Madhya Pradesh	227	153	160
13.	Maharashtra	912	1549	1159
14.	Manipur			157
15.	Meghalaya	16	61	52
16.	Mizoram		36	70
17.	Nagaland	1	54	73
18.	Orissa	41	93	16122
19.	Punjab	161	104	181
20.	Rajasthan	47	513	5640
21.	Sikkim	112	27	5
22.	Tamil Nadu	470	651	749
23.	Tripura	24	89	9
24.	Uttar Pradesh	2656	1216	1728
25.	West Bengal	106	958	374
	TOTAL:	6274	118197	39032

Statement-III

Statement indicating the State-wise position of number of projects to be submitted for sanction

(Position as on 14-7-2001)

Sl. No.	State	No. of Projects
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1
2.	Haryana	2
3.	Himachal Pradesh	1
4.	Jammu & Kashmir	1
5.	Karnataka	1
6.	Meghalaya	1
7.	Tamil Nadu	2
8.	West Bengal	1

Import duty Exemption for Sardar Sarovar Project

*31. SHRI BRAHMAKUMAR BHATT: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the River Bed Power House of Sardar Sarovar Project is fulfilling the required criteria for inclusion in the list of projects specially exempted from payment of import duty;

(b) if so, whether Gujarat has proposed for including this project in the said list;

(c) if so, the reasons for not including the same so far; and

(d) by when, it will be included in the aforesaid list?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI GINGEE N. RAMACHANDRAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) As a pre-existing project, the River bed Power House of Sardar Sarovar Project in Gujarat does not qualify for being